

Including Parents and Caregivers in Trauma Focused Treatment PDev Review Committee Feedback

- Although the models that will be highlighted may be appropriate for different populations and cultural groups, it would be helpful to hear more overall about how the needs and interests of these groups will be addressed throughout other parts of the content.
- They've described how the model developers have added these considerations, but not how their process of selecting model developers or of putting their product together will make address these considerations.
 - A few additional thoughts: I think developmental considerations for ways to involve caregivers in treatment is of huge importance. You'd also want to cover cultural considerations (e.g., family rituals/routines/practices) and perhaps highlight the importance of thinking flexibly and broadly about who plays a caregiver role in the life of youth within certain cultures and contexts in particular.
 - It would be good to involve the culture consortium. I think this topic would benefit from have a cultural lens or perspective applied.
- I think developmental considerations for ways to involve caregivers in treatment is of huge importance. You'd also want to cover cultural considerations (e.g., family rituals/routines/practices) and perhaps highlight the importance of thinking flexibly and broadly about who plays a caregiver role in the life of youth within certain cultures and contexts in particular.
- The plan is relying on the cultural considerations of the model developers, which is fine, but it doesn't address the product itself and whether or not that is written in a culturally appropriate manner. Might consider involving the culture consortium to make sure that the language used is appropriate for a broad range of cultures.
- I think it would be helpful to have more information about the proposed web-based format of this content. As written, I have difficulty envisioning the final product and understanding how it might be used. I think the emphasis/goal is important, but would need a few more details.
- I'm not sure if this is a feasible product
- I think since this product seems like it would be resource intensive there are a few things that should be ironed out. It would be good to know a breakdown of when the project is expected to be completed.
- This is a much needed product for caregivers and providers alike. The plan for development seems like it needs to be flushed out a bit and I think it may be a product that requires work into the next funding cycle, but it will fill a definite need. I appreciate the inclusion of so many EBT perspectives in one resource.
- There is definitely an ongoing need to help learners and clinicians to engage caregivers in trauma-focused treatment, and as I see this as an essential element of trauma recovery (that sometimes is missed entirely, or not prioritized enough) I would be excited to utilize this tool for training/education and caregiver education.
- I think this would be a very worthwhile product. As a provider and director, it would be beneficial to have this when looking at adding any other evidence-based treatments to our program offerings.
- The title is way too long – you're going to lose me on it. It will be beneficial for admin folks – to see how evidence treatment can look. They should include foster care and child welfare professionals in the development.

- This would fill a need for many people, especially in training supervisors who get stumped and need help. This would be a valuable resource. I am confused in how it would look and work.
- It would need to be two separate resources. I would be concerned by the model developers because the product would suffer in real world implementation. The importance stakeholders would need to be implemented in the feedback.
- I didn't really understand how this would get done.
- I appreciate the cross-model approach. If we were better training in core concepts than we wouldn't have the barriers that have come up. The model developers will be valuable but you need to have people applying these models in a lot of different context and populations and in different stages of training – those who have been doing it for years and those who are just beginning.
- Nice to have model developers involved, but the product will not be successful unless you have others involved in the development as well such as clinicians, administrators, and families.

Family Partners - should be involved in development (7 reviewers out of 12)

Family Partners - should be involved in review (8 reviewers out of 12)

Family Partners - should be involved in dissemination (5 reviewers out of 12)

Youth Task Force - should be involved in review (7 reviewers out of 12)

Youth Task Force - should be involved in dissemination (5 reviewers out of 12)

Youth Task Force - should be involved in development (4 reviewers out of 12)

Culture Consortium - should be involved in review (8 reviewers out of 12)

Culture Consortium - should be involved in development (3 reviewers out of 12)

Culture Consortium - should be involved in dissemination (2 reviewers out of 12)